arXiv:1510.07377v1 [math.NA] 26 Oct 2015

IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis (2015) Page 1 of 17
doi:10.1093/imanum/drnxxx

Finite volume element method for two-dimensional fractional subdiffusion problems†

SAMIR KARAA ‡, KASSEM MUSTAPHA § AND AMIYA K. PANI¶

[Received on 27 October 2015]

In this paper, a semi-discrete spatial finite volume (FV) method is proposed and analyzed for approximating solutions of anomalous subdiffusion equations involving a temporal fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0,1)$ in a two-dimensional convex polygonal domain. Optimal error estimates in $L^\infty(L^2)$- norm is shown to hold. Superconvergence result is proved and as a consequence, it is established that quasi-optimal order of convergence in $L^\infty(L^\infty)$ holds. We also consider a fully discrete scheme that employs FV method in space, and a piecewise linear discontinuous Galerkin method to discretize in temporal direction. It is, further, shown that convergence rate is of order $O(h^2+k^{1+\alpha})$, where h denotes the space discretizing parameter and k represents the temporal discretizing parameter. Numerical experiments indicate optimal convergence rates in both time and space, and also illustrate that the imposed regularity assumptions are pessimistic.

Keywords: Fractional diffusion equation, finite volume element, discontinuous Galerkin method, variable meshes, convergence analysis

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded, convex polygonal domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$, and let f and u_0 be given functions defined on their respective domains. Consider the subdiffusion equation:

$$u'(x,t) + \mathscr{B}^\alpha \mathscr{L} u(x,t) = f(x,t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T], \tag{1.1a}$$
$$u(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T], \tag{1.1b}$$
$$u(x,0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.1c}$$

where $\mathscr{L}u = -\Delta u$, u' is the partial derivative of u with respect to time, $\mathscr{B}^\alpha := {}^R\mathrm{D}^{1-\alpha}$ is the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative in time defined by: for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\mathscr{B}^\alpha \varphi(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathscr{I}^\alpha \varphi(t) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_0^t \omega_\alpha(t-s)\varphi(s)\,ds \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_\alpha(t) := \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \tag{1.2}$$

with $\mathscr{I}^\alpha$ being the temporal Riemann–Liouville fractional integral operator of order α.

†The support of the Science Technology Unit at KFUPM through King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology (KACST) under National Science, Technology and Innovation Plan (NSTIP) project No. 13-MAT1847-04 is gratefully acknowledged.

‡Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Sultan Qaboos University, P. O. Box 36, Al-Khod 123, Muscat, Oman. Email: skaraa@squ.edu.om

§Department of Mathematics and Statistics, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, 31261, Saudi Arabia. Email: kassem@kfupm.edu.sa

¶Department of Mathematics, Industrial Mathematics Group, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400076 Email: akp@math.iitb.ac.in

© The author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications. All rights reserved.

Fractional diffusion models received considerable attention over the last two decades from both practical and theoretical point of view. Researchers have found numerous porous media systems in which some key underlying random motion conform to a model where the diffusion is not classical, it is instead anomalously slow (fractional subdiffusion) or fast (super-diffusion). For example, the fractional diffusion problem (1.1) captures the dynamics of some subdiffusion processes, where the growth of the mean square displacement is slower compared to a Gaussian process, see Podlubny (1999) for more detail. The modeling of this problem is actually based on continuous time random walks and master equations with power law waiting time densities (Henry & Wearne (2000)), where u represents the probability density function for finding a particle at location x and at time t (with waiting time and the jumps that are statistically independent). Fractional diffusion models have been successfully used to describe diffusion in several phenomena including media with fractal geometry (Nigmatulin (1986)), highly heterogeneous aquifer (Adams & Gelhar (1992)), and underground environmental problem (Hatano & Hatano (1998)).

Many authors have proposed various techniques for approximating the solution u of (1.1), however obtaining sharp error bounds under reasonable regularity assumptions on u has proved challenging. Several types of finite difference schemes (implicit and explicit) were investigated; see Chen et al. (2012), Cuesta et al. (2006), Cui (2009), Langlands & Henry (2005), Mustapha (2011), Quintana-Murillo & Yuste (2013), Zhang et al. (2014) and related reference, therein. The error analyses in most of these papers typically assume that the solution u is sufficiently smooth, including at $t = 0$. This enforces imposing compatibility conditions on the given data. In earlier works on time-stepping discontinuous Galerkin (DG) method (including hp-versions) combined with spatial standard Galerkin method by the second author and McLean (McLean & Mustapha (2009, 2015), Mustapha (2015), Mustapha & McLean (2013)), unbounded time derivatives of u as $t \to 0$ was allowed (which is typically the case) in the error analysis, also the case of non-smooth initial data was included. Variable time steps were employed to compensate the singular behavior of u, and consequently maintain optimal order rates of convergence.

Our main aim is to propose and analyze a method using exact integration in time and finite volume (FV) method for the space discretization for the two-dimensional fractional model (1.1). Then, we combine the FV scheme in space with a piecewise-linear time-stepping DG scheme which will then define a fully-discrete scheme. Compared to finite differences and finite elements, FV method is easier to implement on structured as well as unstructured meshes and offers flexibility in tackling domains with complex boundaries. Further, it ensures local conservation property of the fluxes which makes this method more attractive in applications. The approach followed here is to formulate the problem in the Petrov-Galekin frame using two different meshes to define the trial space and test space, see Bank & Rose (1987), Cai (1991) and Süli (1991) for some earlier results in this direction. This frame work helps us to derive error estimates which are similar in spirit to tools developed for the error analysis of finite element method. The choice of the FV method for the problem under consideration is as used in Chatzipantelidis et al. (2004), Ewing et al. (2000), and Chou & Li (2000).

The major contribution of the present article can be summarized as follows. We first prove that, under certain regularity assumptions on u of problem (1.1), the error of the FV approximation to the solution u in the $L^\infty(L^2)$-norm (that is, $L^\infty\big(0,T;L^2(\Omega)\big)$-norm) converge with order h^2, where h is the maximum diameter of the elements of the spatial mesh; see Theorem 4.1. The imposed regularity conditions on u can be satisfied by imposing some compatibility conditions on the given data taking into consideration that the derivative of u is not bounded near $t = 0$, see the discussion after Theorem 4.1. In addition, under more restrictive regularity assumptions, we show errors of order h^2 in the stronger $L^\infty(L^\infty)$-norm, see Theorem 4.2. Since in the limiting case $\alpha \to 0$ the problem (1.1) reduces to the classical heat problem, these convergence results extend those obtained in Chatzipantelidis et al. (2004, 2009) and Chou & Li (2000) for the heat equation. This extension is indeed not straightforward, we

make the full use of several important properties of the fractional derivative operator and also use clever steps (see for instance the proof of Lemma 4.2) to achieve our goal. In the second part of the paper, we derive the error from the fully-discrete scheme (DG in time and FV in space) for (1.1). In the $L^\infty(L^2)$-norm, we show convergence of order $h^2+k^{1+\alpha}$ (that is, suboptimal in time) where k is the maximum time step-size. Proving this rate of convergence in the stronger $L^\infty(L^\infty)$-norm is beyond the scope of the paper due to several technical difficulties. It is worthy to mention that the numerical results demonstrate optimal convergence rate in both time and space in the $L^\infty(L^\infty)$-norm, and also shows that the regularity conditions on u are pessimistic. In this regard, the approach used in the time-stepping DG error analysis in Mustapha (2015) might be beneficial to prove a better convergence rate in time, $k^{\frac{3+\alpha}{2}}$ instead of $k^{1+\alpha}$.

An outline of the paper is as follows. In the next section, we introduce some notations and state some important properties of the time fractional operator $\mathscr{B}^\alpha$. In Section 3, we introduce our semi-discrete FV scheme in space for problem (1.1) and define some interpolation operators that play an important role in our error analysis. Section 4 is devoted to prove the main convergence result from the FV discretization, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. Particularly relevant to this *a priori* error analysis is the appropriate use of several important properties of the operator $\mathscr{B}^\alpha$. In Section 5, we define our fully-discrete DG FV scheme and show the corresponding convergence results in the following section, see Theorem 6.1. Finally, in Section 6, we present some numerical results to demonstrate our theoretical achievements and illustrate optimal rates of convergence in both time and space (not only in space as the theory suggested) in the $L^\infty(L^\infty)$-norm under weaker regularity assumptions than the theory required.

2. Notation and Preliminaries.

Denote by $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ the L^2-inner product and its induced norm on $L^2(\Omega)$, respectively. The $L^\infty(\Omega)$-norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Let $H^m(\Omega)=W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ denote the standard Sobolev space equipped with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_m$. With $H_0^1(\Omega)=\{v\in H^1(\Omega): v=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$, let $A(\cdot,\cdot): H_0^1(\Omega)\times H_0^1(\Omega)\to\mathbb{R}$ be the bilinear form associated with the operator $\mathscr{L}$ which is symmetric and positive definite on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then, the weak formulation for (1.1) is to seek $u:(0,T]\longrightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(u',v)+A(\mathscr{B}^\alpha u,v)=(f,v)\quad \forall\, v\in H_0^1(\Omega)\quad with\ \ u(0)=u_0. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that for $\varphi\in W^{1,1}(0,T)$, $\mathscr{B}^\alpha$ satisfies the following property (Theorem A.1, McLean (2012)):

$$\int_0^T \mathscr{B}^\alpha\varphi(t)\,\varphi(t)\,dt \geqslant c_\alpha T^{\alpha-1}\int_0^T |\varphi(t)|^2\,dt \quad \text{for } 0<\alpha<1,$$

where $c_\alpha=\pi^{1-\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}(2-\alpha)^{\alpha-2}\sin(\alpha\pi/2)$ is a positive constant.

In contrast, the Riemann–Liouville operator $\mathscr{I}^\alpha$ has also some positivity property but with a weaker lower bound compared to the one of the operator $\mathscr{B}^\alpha$. More precisely, by Lemma 3.1(ii) in Mustapha & Schötzau (2014), it follows that for piecewise continuous functions $\varphi:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_0^T \mathscr{I}^\alpha\varphi(t)\,\varphi(t)\,dt \geqslant \cos(\alpha\pi/2)\int_0^T |\mathscr{I}^{\alpha/2}\varphi(t)|^2\,dt \geqslant 0 \ \text{ for } 0<\alpha<1\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Since the bilinear form $A(\cdot,\cdot)$ is symmetric positive definite, the following holds: for $W^{1,1}(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))$,

$$\int_0^T A(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\varphi(t),\varphi(t))\,dt \geqslant c_\alpha T^{\alpha-1}\int_0^T \|\nabla\varphi(t)\|^2\,dt. \tag{2.3}$$



FIG. 1. The control Volumes for the boundary nodes

In the sequel, we shall use the adjoint operator $\mathscr{I}_{ad}^{\alpha}$ of $\mathscr{I}^{\alpha}$ (Lemma 3.1, Mustapha & McLean (2013)):

$$\mathscr{I}_{ad}^{\alpha}\varphi(t)=\int_t^T \omega_\alpha(s-t)\varphi(s)\,ds \quad \text{for } \varphi\in C^0[0,T] \text{ with } 0<\alpha<1. \tag{2.4}$$

For later use, we recall the following property (Section 3, Cockburn & Mustapha (2015)):

$$\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\big(\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}\varphi\big)(t)=\varphi(t) \quad \text{for } \varphi\in C^1(0,T). \tag{2.5}$$

3. Finite volume element method

This section deals with primary and dual meshes on the domain Ω, construction of finite dimensional spaces, FV element formulation and some preliminary results.

Let $\mathscr{T}_h$ be a family of regular (quasi-uniform) triangulations of the closed, convex polygonal domain $\overline{\Omega}$ into triangles K, and let $h=\max_{K\in\mathscr{T}_h} h_K$, where h_K denotes the diameter of K. Let N_h be set of nodes or vertices, that is, $N_h:=\{P_i : P_i \text{ is a vertex of the element } K\in\mathscr{T}_h \text{ and } P_i\in\overline{\Omega}\}$ and let N_h^0 be the set of interior nodes in $\mathscr{T}_h$. Further, let $\mathscr{T}_h^*$ be the dual mesh associated with the primary mesh $\mathscr{T}_h$, which is defined as follows. With P_0 as an interior node of the triangulation $\mathscr{T}_h$, let P_i $(i=1,2\cdots m)$ be its adjacent nodes (see, Figure 1 with $m=6$). Let $M_i,\ i=1,2\cdots m$ denote the midpoints of $\overline{P_0P_i}$ and let $Q_i,\ i=1,2\cdots m$, be the barycenters of the triangle $\triangle P_0P_iP_{i+1}$ with $P_{m+1}=P_1$. The *control volume* $K_{P_0}^*$ is constructed by joining successively $M_1,\ Q_1,\cdots,\ M_m,\ Q_m,\ M_1$. With Q_i $(i=1,2\cdots m)$ as the nodes of *control volume* $K_{p_i}^*$, let N_h^* be the set of all dual nodes Q_i. For a boundary node P_1, the control volume $K_{P_1}^*$ is shown in Figure 1. Note that the union of the control volumes forms a partition $\mathscr{T}_h^*$ of $\overline{\Omega}$.

We consider a FV element discretization of (1.1) in the standard C^0-conforming piecewise linear finite element space V_h on the primary mesh $\mathscr{T}_h$, which is defined by

$$V_h=\{v_h\in C^0(\overline{\Omega})\ :\ v_h|_K \text{ is linear for all } K\in\mathscr{T}_h \text{ and } v_h|_{\partial\Omega}=0\},$$

and the dual volume element space V_h^* on the dual mesh $\mathscr{T}_h^*$ given by

$$V_h^*=\{v_h\in L^2(\Omega)\ :\ v_h|_{K_{P_0}^*} \text{ is constant for all } K_{P_0}^*\in\mathscr{T}_h^* \text{ and } v_h|_{\partial\Omega}=0\}.$$

The semi-discrete FV element formulation for (1.1) is to seek $u_h : (0,T] \longrightarrow V_h$ such that

$$(u_h', v_h) + A_h(\mathscr{B}^\alpha u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^* \tag{3.1}$$

with given $u_h(0) \in V_h$ to be defined later. Here, $A_h(\cdot,\cdot)$ is defined by

$$A_h(w_h, v_h) = -\sum_{P_i \in N_h^0} v_h(P_i) \int_{\partial K_{P_i}^*} \nabla w_h \cdot \mathbf{n}\, ds \quad \forall\, w_h \in V_h \text{ and } v_h \in V_h^*, \tag{3.2}$$

with $\mathbf{n}$ denoting the outward unit normal to the boundary of the control volume $K_{P_i}^*$. For $v \in H^2(\Omega)$, a use of Green's formula yields

$$(\mathscr{L} v, v_h) = A_h(v, v_h), \quad \forall\, v_h \in V_h^*. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover as $\mathscr{L} = -\Delta$, the following identity holds:

$$A(w_h, \chi) = A_h(w_h, \Pi_h^* \chi) \qquad \forall w_h,\ \chi \in V_h. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, taking the L^2-inner product of (1.1) with $v_h \in V_h^*$ yields

$$(u', v_h) + A_h(\mathscr{B}^\alpha u, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall\, v_h \in V_h^*. \tag{3.5}$$

For the error analysis, we first introduce two interpolation operators. Let $\Pi_h : C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \longrightarrow V_h$ be the piecewise linear interpolation operator and $\Pi_h^* : C^0(\Omega) \longrightarrow V_h^*$ be the piecewise constant interpolation operator. These interpolation operators are defined, respectively, by

$$\Pi_h u = \sum_{P_i \in N_h^0} u(P_i)\phi_i(x) \ \text{ and } \ \Pi_h^* u = \sum_{P_i \in N_h^0} u(P_i)\chi_i(x). \tag{3.6}$$

It is known that Π_h has the following approximation property (Ciarlet (1978)):

$$\|\psi - \Pi_h \psi\|_0 \leqslant Ch^2 \|\psi\|_2 \quad \text{for} \quad \psi \in H^2(\Omega). \tag{3.7}$$

We state next the properties of the interpolation operator Π_h^*. For a proof, see (pp. 192, Li et al. (2000)). For convenience, we introduce the following notations: $\langle \phi, v \rangle := (\phi, \Pi_h^* v)$ for $\phi \in L^2$ and $v \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$.

LEMMA 3.1 The following statements hold true.

(i) $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle$ defines an inner-product on $V_h \times V_h$ with its induced norm denoted by $|||\cdot|||$.

(ii) The norms $|||\cdot|||$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent on V_h.

(iii) The operator Π_h^* is stable in the following sense: $\|\Pi_h^* \chi\| \leqslant C\|\chi\|$ for any $\chi \in V_h$.

4. A Priori Error Estimates

This section deals with *a priori* optimal order error estimates for the semi-discrete FV scheme (3.1). To do so, we split the error as:

$$u_h - u = (u_h - \bar{R}_h u) + (\bar{R}_h u - u) =: \theta + \xi,$$

where $\bar{R}_h : H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \to V_h$ is the finite volume elliptic projection operator defined by

$$A_h(\bar{R}_h v, \chi) = A_h(v, \chi) \qquad \forall\, \chi \in V_h^*. \tag{4.1}$$

For each $t \in (0, T]$, the projection error $\xi(t)$ satisfies the following estimates (Chou & Li (2000)):

$$\|\xi(t)\|_\ell + \|\xi'(t)\|_\ell \leqslant Ch^{2-\ell}\Big(\|u(t)\|_{W_p^3} + \|u'(t)\|_{W_p^3}\Big) \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, 1 \quad \text{with } \ p > 1. \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, the following maximum estimate is also valid for $t \in (0, T]$

$$\|\xi(t)\|_\infty \leqslant Ch^2 |\log(h)| \Big(\|u(t)\|_3 + \|u(t)\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)}\Big). \tag{4.3}$$

From (3.1) and (3.5), it follows that

$$\langle \theta', \chi\rangle + A_h(\mathscr{B}^\alpha \theta, \Pi_h^* \chi) = -(\xi', \Pi_h^* \chi) \quad \forall\, \chi \in V_h. \tag{4.4}$$

On substitution of (3.4) in (4.4), we obtain

$$\langle \theta', \chi\rangle + A(\mathscr{B}^\alpha \theta, \chi) = -(\xi', \Pi_h^* \chi) \quad \forall\, \chi \in V_h\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Below, we prove one of the main theorems of this section. We may choose $u_h(0) = \Pi_h u_0$, or even L^2-projection onto V_h, then, using approximation property and the equivalence of norms (ii) of Lemma 3.1, it follows that $|||\theta(0)||| \leqslant C\|\theta(0)\| \leqslant Ch^2\, \|u_0\|_2$. In case, we choose $u_h(0) = \bar{R}_h u_0$, then $\theta(0) = 0$.

THEOREM 4.1 Let u and u_h be the solutions of (1.1) and (3.1), respectively. Further, let $u_h(0)$ be chosen so that $\|u_0 - u_h(0)\| = O(h^2)$. Then for any $T > 0$, there is a positive constant C, which may depend on T and α, but independent of h such that

$$\|(u_h - u)(T)\| \leqslant Ch^2 \left(\|u_0\|_3 + \|u'\|_{L^1(H^3)}\right).$$

Proof. Since $u_h - u = \xi + \theta$, where the estimate of ξ is given in (4.2), it is sufficient to estimate θ. To this end, choose $\chi = \theta$ in (4.5) and obtain

$$\langle \theta', \theta\rangle + A(\mathscr{B}^\alpha \theta, \theta) = -(\xi', \Pi_h^* \theta). \tag{4.6}$$

Integrating from 0 to t and using $\langle \theta', \theta\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|||\theta|||^2$ yield

$$|||\theta(t)|||^2 + 2\int_0^t A(\mathscr{B}^\alpha \theta, \theta)\, ds \leqslant |||\theta(0)|||^2 + 2\int_0^t |(\xi', \Pi_h^* \theta)(s)|\, ds\,. \tag{4.7}$$

By the stability property for the operator Π_h^* in Lemma 3.1 (iii), and the equivalence of norms in Lemma 3.1 (ii), we have $|(\xi', \Pi_h^* \theta)| \leqslant \|\xi'\|\, \|\Pi_h^* \theta\| \leqslant C\|\xi'\|\, |||\theta|||$. On substitution this in (4.7), and use the positivity property of $\mathscr{B}^\alpha$ in (2.3) to obtain after simplification

$$|||\theta(t)|||^2 \leqslant |||\theta(0)|||^2 + C\int_0^t \|\xi'(s)\|\, |||\theta(s)|||\, ds. \tag{4.8}$$

Let $t^* \in [0, t]$ be such that $|||\theta(t^*)||| := \max_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} |||\theta(s)|||$. Then, it is easy to check from (4.8) that

$$|||\theta(t)||| \leqslant |||\theta(t^*)||| \leqslant |||\theta(0)||| + C\int_0^t \|\xi'(s)\|\, ds\,. \tag{4.9}$$

Therefore, the desired error estimate follows from the decomposition $u_h - u = \xi + \theta$, the inequality $\|\theta(T)\| \leqslant C|||\theta(T)|||$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii), the above bound, the finite volume elliptic projection error (4.2), and the inequality $\|u(T)\|_3 \leqslant \|u_0\|_3 + \int_0^T \|u'(t)\|_3\, dt$. This completes the rest of the proof. □

Due to the singular behaviour of the solution u of (1.1) near $t = 0$, some regularity and compatibility assumptions on the given data u_0 and f are required to make sure that the term $\|u_0\|_3 + \|u'\|_{L^1(H^3)}$ is bounded. Consequently, by Theorem 4.1, the error for the spatial discretization by FV method is of order $O(h^2)$. For instance, if $f \equiv 0$, we assume that $u_0 \in H^4(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\mathscr{L}u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, then by (Theorem 4.2, Mclean (2010)),

$$\|u'(t)\|_2 + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|u'(t)\|_3 \leqslant C_1 t^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{for } t > 0\,. \tag{4.10}$$

Hence, from Theorem 4.1, $\|(u_h - u)(t)\| \leqslant Ch^2$ for $t > 0$. Here, we can argue that the assumptions on u_0 can be slightly relaxed. Instead, we assume that $u_0 \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\mathscr{L}u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, and again by (Theorem 4.2, Mclean (2010)), we arrive at

$$\|u'(t)\|_1 + t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|u'(t)\|_2 + t^{\alpha}\|u'(t)\|_3 \leqslant Ct^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{for } t > 0\,. \tag{4.11}$$

Using the elliptic projection bound $\|\xi'(t)\| \leqslant Ch\|u'(t)\|_2$, and also the projection bound in (4.2), we observe for $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi(t)\| &\leqslant \|\xi(0)\| + \int_0^t \|\xi'(s)\|\, ds \\ &\leqslant Ch^2\left(\|u_0\|_3 + h^{-1}\int_0^{\varepsilon}\|u'(s)\|_2\, ds + \int_{\varepsilon}^t \|u'(s)\|_3\, ds\right) \quad \text{for } t > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the regularity property (4.11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi(t)\| &\leqslant Ch^2\left(\|u_0\|_3 + h^{-1}\int_0^{\varepsilon} s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\, ds + \int_{\varepsilon}^t s^{-1}\, ds\right) \\ &\leqslant Ch^2\left(1 + h^{-1}\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + |\log(t/\varepsilon)|\right) \\ &\leqslant Ch^2\left(1 + |\log h|\right) \quad \text{with } \varepsilon = \min\{h^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}, t\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore, a use of the obtained estimate of $\xi(t)$ in the proof of the Theorem 4.1 yields

$$\|(u_h - u)(t)\| \leqslant Ch^2\left(1 + |\log h|\right).$$

Our next aim is to derive an estimate of order $O(h^2)$, but in the stronger $L^\infty(L^\infty)$-norm. To do so, we start by estimating θ' in the next lemma. This bound is needed for showing the super-convergence result of $\nabla\theta$ in $L^\infty(L^2)$-norm.

LEMMA 4.1 With $u_h(0) = \bar{R}_h u_0$, there exists a positive constant C independent of h such that

$$\int_0^t \|\theta'\|^2\, ds \leqslant C\int_0^t \|\xi'\|^2 ds \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leqslant T\,.$$

Proof. Choose $\chi = \theta'$ in (4.5) to obtain

$$|||\theta'|||^2 + A(\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}\theta, \theta') = -(\xi', \Pi_h^*\theta').$$

Since $\theta(0)=0$, $\mathscr{B}^\alpha\theta=\mathscr{I}^\alpha\theta'$. Using this and the positivity property of $\mathscr{I}^\alpha$, (2.2), we arrive at

$$\int_0^t A(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\theta,\theta')\,ds=\int_0^t(\mathscr{I}^\alpha(\nabla\theta'),\nabla\theta')\,ds\geqslant 0\,.$$

Hence, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the stability property of Π_h^*, and also by Lemma 3.1 (ii),

$$\int_0^t |||\theta'|||^2\,ds\leqslant\int_0^t|(\xi',\Pi_h^*\theta')|\,ds\leqslant C\int_0^t\|\xi'\|\,\|\theta'\|\,ds\leqslant C\int_0^t\|\xi'\|\,|||\theta'|||\,ds.$$

Therefore, a use of Holder's inequality and again Lemma 3.1 (ii) complete the rest of the proof. □

Below, we derive an upper bound of $\nabla\theta$ in $L^\infty(L^2)$-norm.

LEMMA 4.2 Assume that the solution u of (1.1) satisfies the regularity property (4.10). With $u_h(0)=\bar{R}_hu_0$, there exists a positive constant C, independent of h, such that

$$\|\nabla\theta(t)\|^2\leqslant Ch^2\int_0^t\|\theta'\|\,ds+Ch^4|\log(t/\min\{h^{\frac{2}{\alpha}},t\})|.$$

Proof. Choose $\chi=\mathscr{I}_{ad}^{1-\alpha}\theta'$ in (4.5) and integrate the resulting equation over the interval $(0,t)$. Use (2.5) to arrive at

$$\int_0^t\langle\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\theta',\theta'\rangle\,ds+\int_0^t A(\theta,\theta')\,ds=-\int_0^t(\xi',\Pi_h^*\mathscr{I}_{ad}^{1-\alpha}\theta')\,ds. \tag{4.13}$$

To bound the term on the right hand side of (4.13), we decompose ξ as

$$\xi=(\Pi_hu-u)+(\bar{R}_hu-\Pi_hu)=:\xi_1+\xi_2.$$

Since $\xi_2\in V_h$ and since Π_h^* commutes with $\mathscr{I}_{ad}^{1-\alpha}$,

$$\int_0^t(\xi',\Pi_h^*\mathscr{I}_{ad}^{1-\alpha}\theta')\,ds=\int_0^t(\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_1',\Pi_h^*\theta')\,ds+\int_0^t\langle\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_2',\theta'\rangle\,ds$$

By the continuity property (see Lemma 3.1(iii) in Mustapha & Schötzau (2014)) of $\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}$,

$$\Big|\int_0^t\langle\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_2',\theta'\rangle\,ds\Big|\leqslant C_\alpha\int_0^t\langle\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_2',\xi_2'\rangle\,ds+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\langle\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\theta',\theta'\rangle\,ds\,.$$

Substitute the above equations in (4.13) and use the equality $\int_0^t A(\theta,\theta')\,ds=\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\theta(t)\|^2$ (because $\theta(0)=0$) to obtain after simplifying

$$\int_0^t\langle\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\theta',\theta'\rangle\,ds+\|\nabla\theta(t)\|^2\leqslant 2\Big|\int_0^t(\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_1',\Pi_h^*\theta')\,ds\Big|+C_\alpha\int_0^t\langle\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_2',\xi_2'\rangle\,ds\,. \tag{4.14}$$

By the stability of Π_h^*, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}2\Big|\int_0^t(\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_1',&\Pi_h^*\theta')\,ds\Big|+C_\alpha\int_0^t\langle\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_2',\xi_2'\rangle\,ds\\&\leqslant C\int_0^t\|\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_1'\|\,\|\theta'\|\,ds+C_\alpha\int_0^t\|\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_2'\|\,\|\xi_2'\|\,ds\\&\leqslant C\int_0^t\int_0^s(s-\tau)^{-\alpha}\Big(\|\xi_1'(\tau)\|\,\|\theta'(s)\|+\|\xi_2'(\tau)\|\,\|\xi_2'(s)\|\Big)\,d\tau\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Now split ξ_2 as $\xi_2 = \xi - \xi_1$ and use the elliptic projection with (4.2) and (3.7) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\Big|\int_0^t (\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_1', \Pi_h^*\theta')\,ds\Big| + C\int_0^t \langle \mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}\xi_2', \xi_2'\rangle\,ds \\
&\leqslant Ch^2\int_0^t\int_0^s (s-\tau)^{-\alpha}\|u'(\tau)\|_2\,\|\theta'(s)\|\,d\tau\,ds \\
&+Ch^3\Big(\int_0^\varepsilon \|u'(s)\|_2 + h\int_\varepsilon^t \|u'(s)\|_3\Big)\int_0^s (s-\tau)^{-\alpha}\|u'(\tau)\|_3\,d\tau\,ds \qquad (4.15)\\
&\leqslant Ch^2\int_0^t \|\theta'(s)\|\,ds + Ch^4\Big(h^{-1}\int_0^\varepsilon s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\,ds + \int_\varepsilon^t s^{-1}\,ds\Big) \\
&\leqslant Ch^2\int_0^t \|\theta'(s)\|\,ds + Ch^4\left(h^{-1}\,\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + \log\Big(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\Big)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second last step, we have used the regularity assumption (4.10) and the inequalities:

$$\int_0^s (s-\tau)^{-\alpha}\tau^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\,d\tau \leqslant Cs^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^s (s-\tau)^{-\alpha}\tau^{\alpha-1}\,d\tau \leqslant C.$$

Substitute (4.15) in (4.14), choose $\varepsilon = \min\{h^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}, t\}$, and use the positivity property (2.2) of the operator $\mathscr{I}^{1-\alpha}$ to complete the rest of the proof. □

As a consequence of the super-convergent result proved in Lemma 4.2, we prove in the next theorem, the following maximum norm convergence.

THEOREM 4.2 Let $u_h(0) = \bar{R}_h u_0$. Assume that $u \in H^1(0,T;H^3(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;W^{2,\infty}(\Omega))$. Then,

$$\|(u_h - u)(t)\|_\infty \leqslant Ch^2|\log h| \quad \text{for } t \in (0,T],$$

where the constant C depends on T and α, but independent of h.

Proof. From the decomposition $u_h - u = \xi + \theta$ and the estimates of ξ in (4.3), we obtain for $t > 0$

$$\|(u_h - u)(t)\|_\infty \leqslant Ch^2\,|\log h|\,\Big(\|u(t)\|_3 + \|u(t)\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)}\Big) + \|\theta(t)\|_\infty.$$

However, by Sobolev lemma when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and Lemma 4.2, we arrive for $t > 0$ at

$$\|\theta(t)\|_\infty \leqslant C\,|\log h|\,\|\nabla\theta(t)\| \leqslant Ch\,|\log h|\int_0^t \|\theta'\|\,ds + Ch^2\,|\log\,(t/\min\{h^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}, t\})|.$$

To complete the proof, we use the inequality $\int_0^t \|\theta'\|\,ds \leqslant t^{1/2}\Big(\int_0^t \|\theta'\|^2\,ds\Big)^{1/2}$, Lemma 4.1, the bound in (4.2), and the regularity assumption $u \in H^1(0,T;H^3(\Omega))$. □

REMARK 4.1 The assumption $u \in H^1(0,T;H^3(\Omega))$ is stronger than the one imposed in (4.10). Noting that, under the regularity assumptions in (4.10) with $\alpha \in (1/2,1)$, one can show that the error in the $L^\infty(L^\infty)$ is of order $h^{\frac{3}{2}}$ (ignoring the logarithmic factor), that is, suboptimal. To see this, we follow the derivation in the above theorem, and use

$$\int_0^t \|\theta'\|^2\,ds \leqslant C\int_0^t \|\xi'\|^2\,ds \leqslant Ch^2\int_0^t \|u'\|_2^2\,ds \leqslant Ch^2\int_0^t s^{2\alpha-2}\,ds \leqslant Ch^2.$$

However, our numerical experiments illustrate that the imposed regularity assumptions are pessimistic. We observe optimal rates of convergence in the absence of the regularity assumptions (4.10).

5. The fully-discrete numerical scheme

This section is devoted to our fully-discrete scheme for the fractional diffusion model problem (1.1). We discretize in time using a piecewise linear DG method (Mustapha & McLean (2011)) and the FV method for the spatial discretization. To this end, we introduce a (possibly non-uniform) partition of the time interval $[0,T]$ given by the points: $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_N = T$, and define the half-open subinterval $I_n = (t_{n-1}, t_n]$ with length $k_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$ for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant N$ and set $k := \max_{1\leqslant n\leqslant N} k_n$.

Next, we introduce our *time-space* finite dimensional spaces $\mathscr{W}$ and $\mathscr{W}^*$ as

$$\mathscr{W} := \{v \in L_2((0,T);V_h) : v|_{I_n} \in P_1(V_h), 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N\},$$
$$\mathscr{W}^* := \{v \in L_2((0,T);V_h^*) : v|_{I_n} \in P_1(V_h^*), 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N\},$$

where $P_1(S)$ denotes the space of linear polynomials in t with coefficients in a given space S.

The DG FV approximation $U \in \mathscr{W}$ for (1.1) is now defined as follows: Given $U(t)$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_{n-1}$ with $U(0) := U^0 = U_+^0 \approx u_0$, the solution $U \in P_1(V_h)$ on I_n is determined by requiring that for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant N$,

$$(U_+^{n-1}, X_+^{n-1}) + \int_{I_n} \big\{(U', X) + A_h\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha U, X\big)\big\}\, dt = (U^{n-1}, X_+^{n-1}) + \int_{I_n} (f, X)\, dt \quad \forall\, X \in P_1(V_h^*), \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$U^n := U(t_n) = U(t_n^-), \quad U_+^n := U(t_n^+), \quad [U]^n := U_+^n - U^n.$$

For our error analysis, we recast the DG FV method using the global bilinear form:

$$G(v,w) := \langle v_+^0, w_+^0\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \langle [v]^j, w_+^j\rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{I_j} \langle v', w\rangle\, dt. \tag{5.2}$$

Integration by parts yields an alternative expression for the bilinear form as

$$G(v,w) = \langle v^N, w^N\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \langle v^j, [w]^j\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{I_j} \langle v, w'\rangle\, dt\,. \tag{5.3}$$

One can see that the local DG FV scheme (5.1) holds if and only if $U \in \mathscr{W}$ satisfies

$$G(U,X) + \int_0^T A_h\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha U, \Pi_h^* X\big)\, dt = \langle U^0, X_+^0\rangle + \int_0^T \langle f, X\rangle\, dt \quad \forall\, X \in \mathscr{W}. \tag{5.4}$$

Since the exact solution u of (1.1) satisfies (3.5) and the identity $[u]^j = 0$ for all j, it follows that

$$G(u,X) + \int_0^T A_h\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha u, \Pi_h^* X\big)\, dt = \langle u_0, X_+^0\rangle + \int_0^T \langle f, X\rangle\, dt\,.$$

Thus, the following Galerkin orthogonality property follows at once

$$G(U-u,X) + \int_0^T A_h\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha (U-u), \Pi_h^* X\big)\, dt = \langle U^0 - u_0, X_+^0\rangle \quad \forall\, X \in \mathscr{W}. \tag{5.5}$$

6. Error analysis of the DG FV scheme

To estimate the error from the time-space discretization, we start from the following decomposition:

$$U-u=(U-\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u)+(\Pi_k u-u)+\Pi_k(\bar{R}_h u-u)=:\psi+\eta+\Pi_k\xi, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\bar{R}_h$ is the finite volume elliptic projection operator defined as in (4.1), and $\Pi_k : C^0(\bar{I}_n;L^2(\Omega)) \to C^0(\bar{I}_n;\mathscr{P}_1(L^2(\Omega))$ is the local (in time) L^2-projection defined for $1\leqslant n\leqslant N$ by

$$\Pi_k v(t_n)-v(t_n)=0 \ \text{ and } \ \int_{I_n}(\Pi_k v-v,w)\,dt=0 \ \ \forall\, w\in L_2(\Omega). \tag{6.2}$$

The projection Π_k satisfies the error property (Equation 25, Mustapha & McLean (2011)):

$$\|\eta\|_{I_n}\leqslant 2k_n\int_{I_n}\|u''(t)\|\,dt \quad \text{for } \ 1\leqslant n\leqslant N, \tag{6.3}$$

and also the following error bound property which involves the fractional derivative operator $\mathscr{B}^\alpha$:

$$\Big|\int_0^T A(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\eta,\Pi_h^* X)\,dt\Big|\leqslant C\,\|X\|_J\,\mathbf{E}, \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}:=k_1^\alpha\int_{I_1}\|Au'\|\,dt+\sum_{n=2}^{N}k_n^{1+\alpha}\int_{I_n}\|Au''\|\,dt\,. \tag{6.5}$$

Noting that, we used in (6.4) the following notations

$$\|v\|_J:=\max_{n=1}^{N}\|v\|_{I_n} \quad \text{with} \quad \|v\|_{I_n}:=\sup_{t\in I_n}\|v(t)\|\,.$$

For the proof of (6.4), we refer to (Lemma 2, Mustapha & McLean (2011)) in addition, to the use of the stability property of Π_h^* in Lemma 3.1 (iii).

Next, we estimate ψ. In our proof, we use the following spatial discrete analogue of (2.3):

$$\int_0^T A_h\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\chi,\Pi_h^*\chi\big)\,dt=\int_0^T A\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\chi,\chi\big)\,dt\geqslant c_\alpha T^{\alpha-1}\int_0^T\|\nabla\chi(t)\|^2\,dt \quad \forall\ \chi\in\mathscr{W}, \tag{6.6}$$

which follows from the identity (3.4) and the coercivity property in (2.3).

LEMMA 6.1 Given $\psi=U-\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u$, the following estimate holds

$$\|\psi\|_J\leqslant C\|U^0-\bar{R}_h u_0\|+\int_0^T\|\xi'(t)\|\,dt+C\mathbf{E},$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is defined in (6.5).

Proof. The Galerkin orthogonality property (5.5) along with the decomposition (6.1) and the identity (3.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}G(\psi,X)+\int_0^T A_h\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\psi,\Pi_h^*X\big)\,dt&=\langle U^0-u_0,X_+^0\rangle\\&\quad-G(\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u-u,X)-\int_0^T A\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha(\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u-u),X\big)\,dt \quad \forall\, X\in\mathscr{W}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

Since $\int_{I_n}\langle \Pi_k\bar{R}_h u-\bar{R}_h u, X'\rangle\,dt=0$ by (6.2), integration by parts yields

$$\int_{I_n}\langle \Pi_k\bar{R}_h u-u, X'\rangle\,dt=\int_{I_n}\langle \xi, X'\rangle\,dt=\langle \xi^n, X^n\rangle-\langle \xi^{n-1}, X_+^{n-1}\rangle-\int_{I_n}\langle \xi', X\rangle\,dt\,.$$

Hence, by the alternative formulation of G in (5.3) with $(\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u-u)(t_n)=\xi^n$ (by the definition of Π_k),

$$\begin{aligned}G(\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u-u, X)&=\langle \xi^N, X^N\rangle-\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}\langle \xi^n, [X]^n\rangle-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\int_{I_n}\langle \Pi_k\bar{R}_h u-u, X'\rangle\,dt\\&=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\langle \xi^n, X^n\rangle-\sum_{n=2}^{N}\langle \xi^{n-1}, X_+^{n-1}\rangle-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\int_{I_n}\langle \Pi_k\bar{R}_h u-u, X'\rangle\,dt\\&=\langle \xi^0, X_+^0\rangle+\sum_{n=1}^{N}\int_{I_n}\langle \xi', X\rangle\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.4), the following explicit representation of $\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u$:

$$\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u(t)=\bar{R}_h u(t_j)+\Big(\bar{R}_h u(t_j)-k_j^{-1}\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j}\bar{R}_h u(s)\,ds\Big)\frac{2}{k_j}(t-t_j)\quad\text{for } t\in I_j,$$

the definition of the projection $\bar{R}_h u$, and the identity (3.3), we notice that

$$A\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u,\Pi_h^* X\big)=A_h\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\Pi_k\bar{R}_h u,\Pi_h^* X\big)=A_h\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\Pi_k u,\Pi_h^* X\big)=(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\mathscr{L}\Pi_k u,\Pi_h^* X)\quad\text{on } I_n\,.$$

Substitute the above contribution in (6.7) and use again the identity (3.4) to obtain

$$G(\psi,X)+\int_0^T A\big(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\psi,X\big)\,dt=\langle U^0-\bar{R}_h u_0, X_+^0\rangle-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{I_j}\big(\langle \xi', X\rangle+(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\mathscr{L}\eta,\Pi_h^* X)\big)\,dt.$$

To proceed in our proof, we choose $X=\psi$, and use the positivity property of $\mathscr{B}^\alpha$ in (2.3)) to arrive at

$$G(\psi,\psi)\leqslant\langle U^0-\bar{R}_h u_0,\psi_+^0\rangle-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{I_j}\big(\langle \xi',\psi\rangle+(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\mathscr{L}\eta,\Pi_h^*\psi)\big)\,dt.$$

However, by the definition of G given in (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned}G(\psi,\psi)&=|||\psi_+^0|||^2+\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}\langle [\psi]^n,\psi_+^n\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}[|||\psi^n|||^2-|||\psi_+^{n-1}|||^2]\\&=\frac{1}{2}\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}|||[\psi]^n|||^2+|||\psi^N|||^2+|||\psi_+^0|||^2\Big)\geqslant\frac{1}{8}|||\psi|||_J^2,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the fact that for $1\leqslant n\leqslant N$, $\|\psi\|_{I_n}=\max\{\|\psi^n\|,\|\psi_+^{n-1}\|\}$ and the following inequality $\|\psi_+^{n-1}\|^2=\|\psi^{n-1}+[\psi]^{n-1}\|^2\leqslant 2\|\psi^{n-1}\|+2\|[\psi]^{n-1}\|^2$. Therefore,

$$|||\psi|||_J^2\leqslant C|\langle U^0-\bar{R}_h u_0,\psi_+^0\rangle|+C\Big|\int_0^T\big[(\xi',\Pi_h^*\psi)+(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\mathscr{L}\eta,\Pi_h^*\psi)\big]\,dt\Big|.$$

By the stability property of Π_h^* and the equivalence of the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $|||\cdot|||$ on V_h, Lemma 3.1,

$$\|\psi\|_J^2 \leqslant C\|\psi\|_J\Big(\|U^0-\bar{R}_h u_0\|+\int_0^T\|\xi'\|\,dt\Big)+C\Big|\int_0^T(\mathscr{B}^\alpha\mathscr{L}\eta,\Pi_h^*\psi)\,dt\Big|,$$

and finally, the desired result follows after substituting the estimate (6.4) in the above inequality. □

In the next theorem, we prove the main convergence results of the fully-discrete scheme. Following Mustapha & McLean (2011, 2013), we employ time graded meshes based on concentrating the time-steps near $t=0$ to compensate the singular behavior of u of problem (1.1).

To this end, for a chosen mesh grading parameter $\gamma \geqslant 1$, we assume that

$$t_n = (n/N)^\gamma T \quad \text{for } 0 \leqslant n \leqslant N. \tag{6.8}$$

It is clear that for $2 \leqslant n \leqslant N$, this time mesh has the following properties:

$$\gamma 2^{1-\gamma} k {t_n}^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leqslant k_n \leqslant \gamma k {t_n}^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \text{ and } t_n \leqslant 2^\gamma t_{n-1}. \tag{6.9}$$

Under suitable regularity assumptions on the solution u, we achieve a convergence rate of order $h^2+k^{1+\alpha}$ in the $L^\infty(L^2)$-norm, that is, optimal in space but suboptimal in time. However, the numerical results demonstrate optimal rates of convergence in both variables, in the stronger $L^\infty(L^\infty)$-norm.

THEOREM 6.1 Assume that the solution u of (1.1) has the regularity properties (4.11) in addition to following property

$$\|u'(t)\|+t\|u''(t)\|+t^\alpha\|Au'(t)\|+t^{1+\alpha}\|Au''(t)\| \leqslant Mt^{\sigma-1} \quad \text{for } 0<t\leqslant T \tag{6.10}$$

for some M, $\sigma > 0$. Assume that the initial data $u_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$. Let U be the DG FV solution defined by (5.1) with $U^0 = \bar{R}_h u_0$. Then, there is a positive constant C, depends on α, T, M, σ and γ, such that

$$\|U-u\|_J \leqslant Ch^2(1+|\log h|)+Ck^{1+\alpha} \quad \text{for } \gamma > (1+\alpha)/\sigma.$$

Proof. Using the decomposition (6.1), the stability property of the time projection Π_k: $\|\Pi_k\xi\|_J \leqslant 3\|\xi\|_J$ (see Equation 26, Mustapha & McLean (2011)), the inequality $\|\xi\|_J \leqslant \|\xi_0\|+\int_0^T\|\xi'(t)\|\,dt$, the achieved estimate of ψ in Lemma 6.1, and the bound in (4.12), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|U-u\|_J &\leqslant \|\psi\|_J+\|\eta\|_J+3\|\xi\|_J\\ &\leqslant \|\eta\|_J+C\Big(\|\xi_0\|+\int_0^T\|\xi'(t)\|\,dt+\mathbf{E}\Big)\\ &\leqslant \|\eta\|_J+Ch^2(1+|\log h|)+C\mathbf{E},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is defined in (6.5). From the bound of η in (6.3), the regularity assumption (6.10), the time mesh property (6.9), and the corresponding grading mesh assumption $\gamma > (1+\alpha)/\sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\eta\|_J &\leqslant \int_{I_1}\|u'(t)\|\,dt+C\max_{n=1}^N k_n\int_{I_n}\|u''(t)\|\,dt \leqslant Ct_1^\sigma+C\max_{n=1}^N k_n^2 t_n^{\sigma-2}\\ &\leqslant Ck^{\gamma\sigma}+C\max_{n=1}^N k_n^{1+\alpha}t_n^{\sigma-(1+\alpha)} \leqslant Ck^{\gamma\sigma}+Ck^{1+\alpha}\max_{n=1}^N t_n^{\sigma-(1+\alpha)/\gamma} \leqslant Ck^{1+\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$



FIG. 2. The FV element mesh $\mathscr{T}_h$ with $M = 8$.

In a similar fashion, we estimate $\mathbf{E}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E} &\leqslant C\int_{I_1} t^{\sigma-1}\,dt + C\sum_{j=2}^{N} k^{1+\alpha}\int_{I_j} t^{(1-1/\gamma)(1+\alpha)}\|Au''(t)\|\,dt\\ &\leqslant Ct_1^{\sigma} + Ck^{1+\alpha}\sum_{j=2}^{N}\int_{I_j} t^{\sigma-(1+\alpha)/\gamma-1}\,dt\\ &\leqslant Ck^{\gamma\sigma} + Ck^{1+\alpha}\int_{t_1}^{T} t^{\sigma-(1+\alpha)/\gamma-1}\,dt \leqslant Ck^{1+\alpha} \quad \text{for } \gamma > (1+\alpha)/\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to complete the proof, we combine the above estimates. □

7. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we present some numerical tests to validate our theoretical predictions from the fully-discrete DG FV scheme (5.4). We actually demonstrate that our achieved convergence rates are pessimistic and also the imposed regularity assumptions in our error analysis are not sharp. More precisely, for a sufficiently graded time meshes of the form (6.8), our tests reveal optimal convergence rates in both time and space (that is, of order $O(k^2+h^2)$ in the stronger $L^\infty(L^\infty)$-norm under weaker regularity conditions. However, Theorem 6.1 suggest $O(k^{\alpha+1}+h^2)$ rates of convergence in the $L^\infty(L^2)$-norm.

In our test example, we consider $\Omega = (0,1)\times(0,1)$ and $[0,T] = [0,1]$ in the fractional diffusion problem (1.1). We choose the initial data u_0 and the source term f such that the exact solution $u(x,t) = t^\alpha \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)$, and therefore our regularity assumptions (4.11) and (6.10) hold for $\sigma = \alpha$.

We employ time meshes of the form (6.8) for various choices of the mesh grading parameter $\gamma \geqslant 1$. Let $\mathscr{T}_h$ be a family of uniform (right-angle) triangular mesh of the domain Ω with diameter $h = \sqrt{2}/M$, see Figure 2. For measuring the error in our numerical solution, we introduce a time finer grid

$$\mathscr{G}_{N,m} = \{t_{j-1} + qk_j : 1 \leqslant j \leqslant N, 0 \leqslant q \leqslant m\}$$

and let $\mathscr{N}_h$ be the set of all triangular nodes of the mesh family $\mathscr{T}_{h_s}$ where the diameter h_s is half the diameter of the finest mesh $\mathscr{T}_h$ in our spatial iterations, for instance, $h_s = \sqrt{2}/320$ in Table 1. Define

M	$\alpha = 0.4$		$\alpha = 0.75$	
10	3.6522e-02		3.1396e-02	
20	9.6096e-03	1.9262	8.2601e-03	1.9263
40	2.4235e-03	1.9873	2.0831e-03	1.9874
80	5.8239e-04	2.0570	5.0059e-04	2.0570
120	2.4903e-04	2.0953	2.3145e-04	1.9026
160	1.3923e-04	2.0211	1.2713e-04	2.0827

Table 1. The error $|||U-u|||_{d,10}$ and the spatial rates of convergence for different choices of α.



FIG. 3. The FV error for $\alpha = 0.4$ and $\alpha = 0.75$.

the following discrete-time-space maximum norm

$$|||v|||_{d,m} := \max\{|v(\mathbf{x},t)|,\ (\mathbf{x},t) \in \mathscr{N}_h \times \mathscr{G}_{N,m}\}.$$

Thus, for large values of N, M and m, $|||U-u|||_{d,m}$ approximates the error measured in $L^\infty(L^\infty)$.

To demonstrate the convergence rates from the spatial discretization by FV method, we refine the time steps so that the FV errors are dominant. This is achieved by fixing the ratio $\frac{k^{1+\alpha}}{h^2}$ to a given number < 1. Hence, ignoring the logarithmic term, by Theorem 6.1, an error of order $O(h^2)$ in the L^2-norm is expected. Noting here, for the semi discrete FV scheme (3.1), we proved in Theorem 4.2 an $O(h^2)$ rate of convergence in the stronger $L^\infty(L^\infty)$-norm under the assumption that the solution u of (1.1) is in $H^1(H^3) \cap L^\infty(W^{2,\infty})$. Indeed, this assumption holds for $\alpha > 1/2$ in the current example. However, the numerical results in Table 1 illustrate optimal $O(h^2)$ rates of convergence for both $\alpha < 1/2$ and $\alpha > 1/2$. So, the imposed regularity assumptions may not be practically required.

To illustrate the convergence rates from time discretization by piecewise linear DG method, we refine the spatial FV meshes so that the time-stepping error dominates the spatial error. For $\alpha = 0.6$, we observe from Table 2 convergence rates of order $O(k^{\min\{2,\alpha\gamma\}})$ which is optimal for $\gamma \geqslant 2/\alpha$. However, a suboptimal convergence of order $O(k^{1+\alpha})$ is proved in Theorem 6.1 assuming that $\gamma > (1+\alpha)/\alpha$.

REFERENCES

E. E. ADAMS AND L. W. GELHAR (1992), Field study of dispersion in a heterogeneous aquifer: 2. spatial

N	$\gamma = 1$		$\gamma = 2$		$\gamma = 3.4$	
10	1.0313e-02		3.2357e-03		2.0414e-03	
20	7.2124e-03	0.5159	1.5719e-03	1.0416	6.2591e-04	1.7055
40	5.0788e-03	0.5060	7.3189e-04	1.1028	1.7878e-04	1.8078
60	4.1411e-03	0.5034	4.6047e-04	1.1428	8.4038e-05	1.8619
80	3.5604e-03	0.5252	3.2953e-04	1.1630		

Table 2. The error $|||U-u|||_{d,10}$ and the temporal convergence rates for $\alpha = 0.6$ with different choices of the mesh grading parameter γ.

moments analysis, *Water Res. Research*, 28, 3293-3307.

R. E. BANK, AND D. J. ROSE (1987), Some error estimates for the box method, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 24, 777-787.

Z. CAI (1991), On the finite volume element method, *Numer. Math.*, 58, 713-735.

P. CHATZIPANTELIDIS, R. D. LAZAROV AND V. THOMÉE (2004), Error estimates for a finite volume element method for parabolic equations in convex polygonal domains, *Numer. Meth. PDE*, 20, 650-674.

P. CHATZIPANTELIDIS, R. D. LAZAROV AND V. THOMÉE (2009), Parabolic finite volume element equation in nonconvex polygonal domains, *Numer. Meth. PDE*, 25, 507-525.

C.-M. CHEN, F. LIU, V. ANH, I. TURNER (2012), Numerical methods for solving a two-dimensional variable-order anomalous sub-diffusion equation, *Math. Comput.*, 81, 345-366.

S. H. CHOU AND Q. LI (2000), Error estimates in L^2, H^1 and L^∞ in covolume methods for elliptic and parabolic problems: A unified approach, *Math. Comp.*, 69, 103-120.

S. H. CHOU, D. Y. KWAK AND Q. LI (2003), L^p error estimates and superconvergence for covolume or finite volume element methods, *Numer. Meth. PDE*, 19, 463-486.

P. G. CIARLET (1978), The finite element method for elliptic problems, North Holland, Amsterdam.

B. COCKBURN, AND K. MUSTAPHA (2015), A hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin method for fractional diffusion problems, *Numer. Math.*, 130, 293–314

E. CUESTA, C. LUBICH AND C. PALENCIA (2006), Convolution quadrature time discretization of fractional diffusive-wave equations, *Math. Comput.*, 75, 673–696.

M. R. CUI (2009), Compact finite difference method for the fractional diffusion equation, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 228, 7792–7804.

R. E. EWING, R. D. LAZAROV, AND Y. LIN (2000), Finite volume element approximations of nonlocal reactive flows in porous media, *Numer. Meth. PDEs*, 16, 285-311.

Y. HATANO AND N. HATANO (1998), Dispersive transport of ions in column experiments: An explanation of long-tailed profiles, *Water Res. Research*, 34, 1027–1033.

B. I. HENRY AND S. L. WEARNE (2000), Fractional reaction-diffusion, *Physica A*, 276, 448-455.

T. A. M. LANGLANDS AND B. I. HENRY (2005), The accuracy and stability of an implicit solution method for the fractional diffusion equation, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 205, 719-936.

R. H. LI, Z. Y. CHEN AND W. WU (2000), Generalized Difference Methods for Differential Equations, Marcel Dekker, New York.

W. MCLEAN (2010), Regularity of solutions to a time-fractional diffusion equation, *ANZIAM J.*, 52, 123-138.

W. MCLEAN (2012), Fast summation by interval clustering for an evolution equation with memory, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 34, A3039-A3056.

W. MCLEAN AND K. MUSTAPHA (2009), Convergence analysis of a discontinuous Galerkin method for a fractional diffusion equation, *Numer. Algor.*, 52, 69-88.

W. MCLEAN AND K. MUSTAPHA (2015), Time-stepping error bounds for fractional diffusion problems with non-smooth initial data, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 293, 201–217.

K. MUSTAPHA (2011), An implicit finite difference time-stepping method for a sub-diffusion equation, with spatial discretization by finite elements, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 31, 719-739.

K. MUSTAPHA (2015), Time-stepping discontinuous Galerkin methods for fractional diffusion problems, *Numer. Math.*, 130, 497-516.

K. MUSTAPHA AND W. MCLEAN (2011), Piecewise-linear, discontinuous Galerkin method for a fractional diffusion equation, *Numer. Algor.*, 56, 159-184.

K. MUSTAPHA AND W. MCLEAN (2013), Superconvergence of a discontinuous Galerkin method for fractional diffusion and wave equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 51, 491-515.

K. MUSTAPHA AND D. SCHÖTZAU (2014), Well-posedness of $hp-$version discontinuous Galerkin methods for fractional diffusion wave equations, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 34, 1226-1246.

R. R. NIGMATULIN (1986), The realization of the generalized transfer equation in a medium with fractal geometry, *Phys. Stat. Sol. B*, 133, 425-430.

I. PODLUBNY (1999), Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego.

J. QUINTANA-MURILLO AND S. B. YUSTE (2013), A finite difference method with non-uniform timesteps for fractional diffusion and diffusion-wave equations, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.*, 222, 1987-1998.

E. SÜLI (1991), Convergence of finite volume schemes for Poisson equation on nonuniform meshes, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 28, 1419-1430.

Y-N ZHANG, Z-Z SUN AND H-L LIAO (2014), Finite difference methods for the time fractional diffusion equation on non-uniform meshes, *J. Comput. Phys.*, 265, 195-210.